

## **BLISLAND NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

### **JULY 2019 SURVEY ANALYSIS**

#### **Question 3.11 Comments**

‘Please add any comments you may wish to make concerning housing in the Parish generally and in the Village specifically’

*‘I feel it is right that development happens in a sympathetic manner; but would not like modern conveniences like street lights and fast food outlets to be allowed’*

*‘New accessible housing should be a priority for older residents in order to release existing housing for younger tenants and younger people’*

*‘I would not like to see any large development in the Parish and certainly not in the Conservation Area. Controlled development on the outskirts of the village would be acceptable, especially for young families to help sustain the school, post office and shop’*

*‘There is no point in increasing housing if the infrastructure is not there to support it; ie schools, hospitals, doctors, transport’*

*‘If we are to maintain our essential services, fireman, nurses etc we need to provide affordable housing for them, given that they don’t earn huge salaries. Too often houses that come on the market are snapped up by second home buyers, usually from outside the county’*

*‘Second homeownership should be strictly limited as they contribute nothing and have a big impact on the community and amenities these homes being empty all but a few weeks each year. New homes should be built to very high eco standards’*

*‘New builds should be for local people born in the Parish. Not for people coming in from elsewhere’*

*‘Local housing for local people. Make ‘smarter’ use of existing stock; I am very aware of how finance issues are a limiting factor’*

*'Over development and in particular if this changes the traditional character of the village'*

*'Fed up with people with children being housed by the Council. Don't have children if you can't afford them'*

*'Additional housing would be good for the future of the Village Shop'*

*'Limited number of new housing preferred. But if we have to build small cottage type housing in keeping'*

*'There are six houses round the Village Green that are either second or holiday homes. This has an impact on the sustainability of the Village Shop, Church, School and Pub'*

*'I would prefer to see any new buildings at least faced in local stone, with slate roofs, and of good design; eg as in the Yorkshire Dales National Park and in the Cotswolds'*

*'A few more houses in the Village/Parish would support local amenities and hopefully these people would contribute to the village activities. It is not in keeping with the area to have a large development of large and very obvious houses. Each house needs car parking for two cars.'*

*'There will always be some need for local housing, but this is likely to be met by turnover in the current position'*

*'I don't want to see any more second homes or holiday lets'*

*'Any newbuilds should be inkeeping with what surrounds them, natural stone etc on the outside'*

*'In-fill, following the CPD and additional local design statement would be preferable. Local design statements should look to enhance the landscape character and should have net biodiversity gain (that is appropriate and proven) for the area within the Parish'*

*'All new build should be in keeping with the Village, by using the guide'*

*'Most definitely there should be a Second Home restriction; we need homes for those whose work is here'*

*'Blisland is a very special and unique Village. We do not think there should be development to spoil this, no development of several houses to spoil its character'*

*'Given the questions relating to 3.3d 3.6 it must be stressed how important it is to value the opinion of residents on this subject. The Parish Council has allowed a house to be built not in keeping with houses in Pendrift or other hamlets in the Parish. All new builds should have feature of granite as a nod to the older houses'*

*'There should definitely be a 'Second Home' restriction in Blisland'*

*'Too many unoccupied houses due to 'Second Homes'*

*'Whilst there may not be a big demand for housing from people within the Village, there is a crisis for local housing in Cornwall and we cannot be selfish; we have to share the burden. Also there may be more need from within the Village in 5 to 10 years time'*

*'A few houses extra would enhance the community. Too many would ruin it'*

*'There are enough (houses) now'*

*'Any development must be designed sympathetically to the historic nature of the Village. I think landscaping is key (use St Mabyn as an example of doing it right) and choice of building materials and design style. If you do build more houses you need to*

*accommodate family needs and insist on a playground built to cater for children in the Parish'*

*'Self building and individual plots to be encouraged if kept to parish guidelines. A village needs growth'*

*'We love Blisland as it is. We moved here because it is so beautiful and a small village. It would be a shame to build new housing as the approaches are too narrow for extra vehicle and the amenities are only adequate for the happily existing residents. Please don't spoil that'*

*'It is the countryside that surrounds the Village that enhances its character. This character would be negatively impacted if any green field area was built on. There are brownfield sites in Bodmin (opposite the Council Offices) and on the Wadebridge Road and on the 'Focus' site that are very suitable for low cost housing. And only three of the five households in Church Close actively contribute anything to the Village'*

*'All new planning application to insist on off street parking spaces'*

*'There should be no new building in Blisland. It would spoil everything in the Village ie historical landscape environment'*

*'I think the village to be slightly underpopulated and that the right sort of limited development would benefit the community'*

*'No second homes, please; this does not help local housing issues in any way'*

*'Any new housing plans must enhance the local area and should be only available for people to live in permanently'*

*'Blisland is lovely and Peaceful, leave it as it is'*

*'Andy development in and around the existing village boundary should be aimed at helping the young people of the village and surrounding areas to stay here to live (ie very affordable homes).*

*'Any development needs to be very small scale'*

*'Houses for Local People'*

*'New developments should be in keeping with the traditional character of the area'*

*'Building will always be on people's agenda. We can only try to hinder that as long as we can. That is why my policy is no building and see what happens'*

*'We feel passionately that the character of the Village should be maintained and therefore schemes should be designed with the character and traditional appearance of the village in mind. We also believe that infill would be receivable to extending the development boundaries'*

*'Our local environment is priceless and so easily lost'*

*'Any new housing should be small scale, not large scale, ugly (ie St Mabyne) that distracts from the Village. Housing designs to be sympathetic with landscaping:*

- should be landscaped to 'soften' building*
- local material eg slate and stone should be incorporated in any new build*

*Developers pitching to build in Blisland should be required/encouraged to install solar panels, off street parking and low level lighting'*

*'Close attention needs to be paid to ridiculous planning applications which are not in keeping with surrounding properties'*

*'My main comment is Blisland is a Village, always has been and we would like to keep it as a village! This beautiful Parish could be spoiled with many more new builds and additional properties; keep Blisland a Village!!'*

*'New buildings not in keeping with older buildings; should be faced with Cornish stone'*

*'The sewer works is not big enough to manage the buildings we have already, how can you accept future developments?'*

*'Too many dogs not kept under control on moor'*

*'Houses should be a traditional manor according to a traditional Cornish village'*

*'We are hoping to extend our existing home to accommodate our parents and family'*

*'We are in favour of extensive new housing development to meet the needs of young people including those who wish to move into the area. The village needs to grow in order to prosper; the population profile is already too old'*

*'Keep Blisland for locals'*

*'The need for quality affordable housing for people with genuine farming connections with the village and for the dwellings to be in keeping with the village by the use of granite'*

*'There should be no homes in the Conservation Area. Any new homes in the area should be for young families affordable to buy or rent; not housing that is out of most people's price bracket or for Second Homes'*

*'We have too many holiday lets and too many Second Homes. Ensure that these places are paying business taxes including those so called temporary huts that are sprouting up'*

*'No more houses. Blisland has had enough new builds. Further development would be detrimental to it's charm'*

*'Please consider any increase in the volume and type of traffic/road users on access and exit routes'*

*'Working class people cannot afford to live here. Myself and my husband can only afford to live here as we are in a Housing Trust'*

*'As Second Home Owners it is inappropriate to comment'*

*'Blisland is a beautiful place to live and it is privilege to be here. Any new housing should seek to match the character of the Village generally and enhance the quality of life for all residents'*

*'Its full enough now; leave Blisland alone maybe repair the road to the A30!'*

*'As renters we are subject to a high rate, £850 per month. This is because of the size and location of the house which we love but should there be an increase (over which we have no control) it could prompt a decision to relocate to ensure financial sustainability'*

*'No community can survive without some development. Blisland will need homes for families with young children and suitable accommodation for single and older people. The Parish has a great number of open market homes which are generally too expensive for people with young families and single people. Good quality rental or shared ownership rather than open market homes should be high on the list of consideration for any new housing'*

*'The Village is a wonderful, tranquil place to live. Would be **very** unhappy to see development. 5 to 10 units per older/disabled people who live in the Village would be ideal. Quality supported community living'*

*'I do think it is important that the 'style' of new building is in tune with the style of older buildings here. Using local stone for example'*

*'Space houses out a bit, so it still looks like a village rather than turning it into a crammed up town/housing estate! There is plenty of room to expand the village boundary without damaging its nature and it would be more like a village if they were spaced out instead of all this infill. And keep cars off the road instead of parking everywhere in the way!'*

*'Although in my answer to Q3.3 I have said the requirements of green energy should take precedence to conserving historic landscape etc I do think new build should use natural materials of slate/granite despite the expense. I do think planning rules should not be relaxed, particularly for development in and around the AONB'*

*'Keep our areas green; no building behind Tregenna Road. I have concerns about the infrastructure being able to cope with new building'*

*'NO BUILDING behind Tregenna Road; it is extremely beautiful there and needs protecting. Concerns about infrastructure need taking into account, local amenities are poor, transport even poorer and we need more amenities more than housing. I would like to see the Conservation Area extended as I have outlined on Map Two'*

*'Lack of affordable housing'*

*'No more houses'*

*'No Building'*

*'No more houses'*

*'Any more houses should be planned sensitively'*